

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N			
09/901,444		07/09/2001	Robert L. Horton	05542/009002	7706		
22511	7590	02/13/2003					
ROSENTH 1221 MCKIN	NEY A	SHA L.L.P. VENUE	EXAMINER				
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010				TUCKER, F	TUCKER, PHILIP C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1712 DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	
ч	
3	5

-11

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	901444		HORTON	
•	Examiner P. TU CKER		Group Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this community is			1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence add	ress
Reply				

Peri d for A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ___ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)___ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)_ _____is/are allowed. ∇ Claim(s) 1 - 6, 8 - 10, 12 - 29 is/are rejected. X Claim(s) 7 11 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s)______ are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on_______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. $\hfill \Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. $\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:_ Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 XNotice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Office Acti n Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/901444

Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 14-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims teach an effective amount of miscible amine, but fail to teach what such is effective to perform. The scope of the claims are thus not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published

Art Unit: 1712

under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

4. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-15, 17-23 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holtmeyer (4780221).

Holtmeyer teaches a well treatment fluid which comprises a synthetic polymer and triethanolamine, within the scope of the present invention (see Table IV).

5. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14, 15, 18-23 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rose (6291406).

Rose teaches a well treatment fluid which comprises an amine and a polyalkylene oxide polymer (see Example 4).

6. Claims 1, 2, 14, 15, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patel (5909779).

Patel teaches a well treatment fluid which comprises primary amines and viscosifying synthetic polymers (see examples).

Application/Control Number: 09/901444

19/901444

Art Unit: 1712

7. Claims 7 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2735 February 10, 2003

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712 Page 4

Recent Statutory Changes to 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

On November 2, 2002, President Bush signed the 21st Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act (H.R. 2215) (Pub. L. 107-273, 116 Stat. 1758 (2002)), which further amended 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) (Pub. L. 106-113, 113 Stat. 1501 (1999)). The revised provisions in 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) are completely retroactive and effective immediately for all applications being examined or patents being reexamined. Until all of the Office's automated systems are updated to reflect the revised statute, citation to the revised statute in Office actions is provided by this attachment. This attachment also substitutes for any citation of the text of 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), if made, in the attached Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 prior to the amendment by the AIPA that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

For more information on revised 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) visit the USPTO website at www.uspto.gov or call the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703) 305-1622.